

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/798,058	MATHIS, JOHN P.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert B. Mondesi	1652	

All Participants:

(1) Robert B. Mondesi.

(2) Michelle L. Cunningham.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 December 2006

Time: 2:00 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C 112, first paragraph written description and 35 U.S.C 101

Claims discussed:

1-3, 9-11 and 13-14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Robert B. Mondesi
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' agreed to amend the above claims in line with examiners' recommendation (faxed proposed Examiners' draft amendment) in order to overcome the above-mentioned rejections. Applicants also agreed to amend the specification in order to comply with all requirements of Biological Deposit rules 37 CFR 1.801-1.809